



Application for Re-approval to be a Third Party Certifier (TPC) of Composite Wood Products

Note: This form can be completed by any Third Party Certifier (TPC) of composite wood products, previously approved by the Executive Officer of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to renew their Executive Order for an additional two years (Posted at: <http://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/compwood/listoftpcs.htm>).

Mail Completed Applications to:	California Air Resources Board Stationary Source Division Attn: Ms. Layla Gonzalez P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812-2815
Email Completed Applications to:	lgonzale@arb.ca.gov

Third Party Certifier Information	
Re-application Approval Date:	
Name of TPC and TPC No.:	
Mailing Address:	
Website URL:	
Contact Person and Title:	
Contact's Phone No.:	
Contact's Fax No.:	
Contact's Email:	
Products Certified (Check applicable items)	Hardwood Plywood: <input type="checkbox"/> Veneer Core <input type="checkbox"/> Composite Core <input type="checkbox"/> Medium Density Fiberboard (MDF) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin MDF <input type="checkbox"/> Particleboard

Background: Under section 93120.4 of the CARB Composite Wood Products Airborne Toxic Control Measure (ATCM), third party certifiers (TPC) are required to demonstrate their individual qualifications to certify composite wood products, before CARB can issue an Executive Order (EO). The EO recognizes TPCs as independent certifiers and authorizes them to deem mill product certifications accordingly. Since the inception of the TPC program in April 2008, thirty-three organizations have been approved by CARB's Executive Officer to offer services throughout the world. The Executive Orders granted to TPCs have a duration of two years as specified in section 93120.4(b)(4):

“... The Executive Officer shall issue an Executive Order approving the application if the evidence submitted by the applicant is sufficient to demonstrate that the applicant can competently perform the tasks described in subsection (c). The Executive Order shall have a duration of two years. Within 120 days of the expiration date of the Executive Order, a third party certifier may apply for re-approval by submitting an updated application to the Executive Officer to demonstrate the continued ability to comply with section 93120.4(b)(1).”

All CARB approved TPCs have successfully demonstrated their ability to certify composite wood products under the ATCM. As such, this re-approval application has been streamlined to request updated and other relevant information for staff to assess a TPC's continued ability to provide CARB certification services.

Re-approval Application Content: For re-approval, TPCs are requested to submit the following items:

1. Evidence of a current product certification agency accreditation that includes composite wood products (e.g., ISO Guide 65, EN 45011) on the scope of your accreditation.
 - Provide the certificate as an attachment to your completed application for re-approval

2. Evidence of a current testing laboratory accreditation (e.g., ISO Standard 17025), including all of the subcontractors that are listed on your existing Executive Order.
 - Provide the certificate as an attachment to your completed application for re-approval
 - Also include documentation, as applicable, of the contractual agreement(s) you have with any subcontract testing laboratories

3. Evidence of a current inspection body accreditation (e.g., ISO Standard 17020), including all of the subcontractors that are listed on your existing Executive Order.
 - Provide the certificate as an attachment to your completed application for re-approval

- Include documentation, as applicable, of the contractual agreement(s) you have with any subcontractor inspection bodies

4. Equivalence data and calculated C values for secondary method test chambers (if applicable; see Page 4) – complete one form for each volume of secondary method test chamber that you operate.

5. Blank auditing and inspection form(s) used to document:

- The qualifications of a mill during an initial plant qualification inspection pursuant to section 93120.12, Appendix 3, subsection (c)
- Operating conditions, etc. during quarterly inspections pursuant to section 93120.12, Appendix 3, subsection (e)

6. Summary of current TPC practices (see Page 5)

- Describe any changes you have made, insofar as inspection of testing of composite wood product mills, relative to the responses in your original application concerning:
 - Initial Plant Qualification (Part C of the original application)
 - Primary or Secondary Method Testing (Part D of the original application)
 - Facility Inspection Procedures (Part E of the original application)

7. Signed certification (see Page 6)

The review schedule for TPC renewals will follow the time line in sections 93120.4(b)(2) through 93120.4(b)(5).

CARB Staff Contacts:

- Lynn Baker
 - Phone: 916-324-6997
 - Email: lbaker@arb.ca.gov
- Layla Gonzalez
 - Phone: 916-324-0354
 - Email: lgonzale@arb.ca.gov

Equivalence Data for Secondary Method Chamber Testing (if applicable)

Note: Complete one form for each volume of test chamber that you operate as a secondary method. Make additional copies of this form as appropriate.

Volume of Secondary Method Chamber (m³): _____

Emission Test Data for Lower, Intermediate, or Upper Range Samples			
Sample No.	ASTM E 1333 (ppm)	ASTM D 6007 (ppm)	Concentration Range ¹
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			

⁽¹⁾ Specify the applicable concentration range for the sample: Lower (< 0.07 ppm), Intermediate (0.07 to 0.14 ppm), Upper (0.15 to 0.25 ppm)

Average (X) and Standard Deviation (S) Values for Equivalence				
Range	X	S	C	Equivalence Data Analysis
Lower			0.026	Is $(X + 0.88S) \leq C$? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Intermediate			0.038	Is $(X + 0.88S) \leq C$? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Upper			0.052	Is $(X + 0.88S) \leq C$? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Decision Rule: Is $(X + 0.88S) \leq C$ for both of the tested ranges? (Check one)

Yes – the candidate ASTM D 6007 is deemed equivalent

No – the candidate ASTM D 6007 is not equivalent

Certification

Company Name: _____

I, _____, hereby certify that the information and data submitted in this application are true and as accurate as possible, to the best of my knowledge and professional expertise and experience.

Signed this ____ day of _____, _____, upon my oath or affirmation.

Signature

Date

Printed Name

Title